The past and future of peak detection in paleofire research

Philip Higuera

Professor of Fire Ecology University of Montana @PhilipHiguera International Paleofire Network 6 May, 2021





Big Burns





The past and future of peak detection

- 1. Origin and development of peak-detection methods
- 2. Principles of the decomposition approach and CharAnalysis
- **3. Best practices and potential pitfalls** using peak detection to infer and interpret fire occurrence

4. Future needs and opportunities

*This will be fast...and I will skip things!

International Journal of Wildland Fire 2010, 19, 996-1014

Peak detection in sediment-charcoal records: impacts of alternative data analysis methods on fire-history interpretations

Philip E. Higuera^{A,E}, Daniel G. Gavin^B, Patrick J. Bartlein^B and Douglas J. Hallett^{C,D}



"Even when applying the most rigorous analytical techniques, there is no substitute for careful inspection of a record to assess whether it can provide an unbiased fire history in the first place." – Higuera et al. (2010)

Peak detection: identifying charcoal "peaks" that are interpreted as individual fires or fire events; performed when the goal is to reconstruct "local" fire history.



Code Lake, Alaska, the example datasets distributed with CharAnalysis.



Peak detection is just one of many approaches used to infer fire history in paleo records



*SNI: signal-to-noise index, Kelly et al. (2011)



This is NOT a complete list; this highlights "first" papers introducing new peak-analysis methods, and/or significant advances.





Any paper with Higuera as co-author is available here: <u>https://www.cfc.umt.edu/research/paleoecologylab/publications/default.php</u>

- Blarquez, O., M. P. Girardin, B. Leys, A. A. Ali, J. C. Aleman, Y. Bergeron, and C. Carcaillet. 2013. Paleofire reconstruction based on an ensemble-member strategy applied to sedimentary charcoal. Geophysical Research Letters 40:2667-2672. https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50504
- Blarquez, O., B. Vannière, J. R. Marlon, A.-L. Daniau, M. J. Power, S. Brewer, and P. J. Bartlein. 2014. paleofire: An R package to analyze sedimentary charcoal records from the Global Charcoal Database to reconstruct past biomass burning. Computers & Geosciences 72:255-261. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2014.07.020</u>
- Clark, J. S. 1988. Effects of climate change on fire regimes in northwestern Minnesota. Nature 334:233-235. https://www.nature.com/articles/334233a0
- Clark, J. S. 1988. Particle motion and the theory of charcoal analysis: source area, transport, deposition, and sampling. Quaternary Research 30:67-80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0033-5894(88)90088-9</u>
- Clark, J. S. 1990. Fire and climate change during the last 750 yr in northwestern Minnesota. Ecological Monographs 60:135-159. https://doi.org/10.2307/1943042
- Clark, J. S., and P. D. Royall. 1996. Local and regional sediment charcoal evidence for fire regimes in presettlement north-eastern North America. Journal of Ecology 84:365-382. https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/2261199.pdf
- Dietze, E., D. Brykala, L. T. Schreuder, K. Jazdzewski, O. Blarquez, A. Brauer, M. Dietze, M. Obremska, F. Ott, A. Pienczewska, et al. 2019. Human-induced fire regime shifts during 19th century industrialization: A robust fire regime reconstruction using northern Polish lake sediments. PLoS ONE 14:e0222011. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222011
- Finsinger, W., R. Kelly, J. Fevre, and E. K. Magyari. 2014. A guide to screening charcoal peaks in macrocharcoal-area records for fire-episode reconstructions. The Holocene 24:1002-1008. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0959683614534737
- Gavin, D. G., F. S. Hu, K. Lertzman, and P. Corbett. 2006. Weak climatic control of stand-scale fire history during the late Holocene. Ecology 87:1722-1732. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[1722:WCCOSF]2.0.CO;2</u>
- Higuera, P. E., L. B. Brubaker, P. M. Anderson, T. A. Brown, A. T. Kennedy, and F. S. Hu. 2008. Frequent Fires in Ancient Shrub Tundra: Implications of Paleorecords for Arctic Environmental Change. PLoS ONE 3:e0001744. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001744</u>
- Higuera, P. E., L. B. Brubaker, P. M. Anderson, F. S. Hu, and T. A. Brown. 2009. Vegetation mediated the impacts of postglacial climate change on fire regimes in the south-central Brooks Range, Alaska. Ecological Monographs 79:201-219. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/07-2019.1</u>
- Higuera, P. E., D. G. Gavin, P. J. Bartlein, and D. J. Hallett. 2010. Peak detection in sediment-charcoal records: impacts of alternative data analysis methods on fire-history interpretations. International Journal of Wildland Fire 19:996-1014. <u>https://doi.org/10.1071/WF09134</u>
- Higuera, P. E., M. E. Peters, L. B. Brubaker, and D. G. Gavin. 2007. Understanding the origin and analysis of sediment-charcoal records with a simulation model. Quaternary Science Reviews 26:1790-1809. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2007.03.010
- Itter, M. S., A. O. Finley, M. B. Hooten, P. E. Higuera, J. R. Marlon, R. Kelly, and J. S. McLachlan. 2017. A model-based approach to wildland fire reconstruction using sediment charcoal records. Environmetrics:e2450. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/env.2450</u>
- Kelly, R. F., P. E. Higuera, C. M. Barrett, and F. S. Hu. 2011. A signal-to-noise index to quantify the potential for peak detection in sediment-charcoal records. Quaternary Research 75:11-17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqres.2010.07.011
- Long, C. J., C. Whitlock, P. J. Bartlein, and S. H. Millspaugh. 1998. A 9000-year fire history from the Oregon Coast Range, based on a high-resolution charcoal study. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 28:774-787. https://doi.org/10.1139/x98-051
- Marlon, J. R., P. J. Bartlein, C. Carcaillet, D. G. Gavin, S. P. Harrison, P. E. Higuera, F. Joos, M. J. Power, and I. C. Prentice. 2008. Climate and human influences on global biomass burning over the past two millennia. Nature Geoscience 1:697-702. <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/ngeo313</u>
- Power, M. J., J. Marlon, N. Ortiz, P. J. Bartlein, S. P. Harrison, F. E. Mayle, A. Ballouche, R. H. W. Bradshaw, C. Carcaillet, C. Cordova, et al. 2008. Changes in fire regimes since the Last Glacial Maximum: an assessment based on a global synthesis and analysis of charcoal data. Climate Dynamics 30:887-907. <u>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00382-007-0334-x</u>

Peak detection is best suited for high-severity fire regimes



"Identifying fire episodes from charcoal records is most promising when fires:

- (1) are large [relative to the charcoal source area];
- (2) burn with high severity; and
- (3) recur with average intervals at least five times the sampling resolution of the sediment record (Clark 1988b; Whitlock and Larsen 2001; Higuera et al. 2005, 2007).

Sediment–charcoal records are thus particularly valuable for studying standreplacing fire regimes in boreal and subalpine forests, where all three of these conditions are typically met." - Higuera et al. (2010)

2. Principles of decomposition and CharAnalysis



Missoula Lake, Lolo National Forest, Montana (P. Higuera)

Charcoal comes from fire, primarily from airborne deposition Charcoal production varies within and between fires





Crown-fire in a high-elevation (subalpine) forest in Yellowstone NP, characterized historically by a high-severity fire regimes (photo: NPS).



Surface-fire in a low-elevation (montane) forest in the N. Rockies, characterized historically by a low-severity fire regimes. .

Charcoal floating on a lake surface days after a boreal forest fire in Alaska.

- Amount of charcoal depends on charcoal production, distance, injection height, & wind
- Slope wash, within-lake redeposition, and mixing "blur" record



Small, deep lakes with small or no inlet maximize resolution, and minimize charcoal source area: Because fire events are discrete, parallel cores and contiguous sampling needed to provide a continuous record:



Silver Lake, Montana (Wolf, Higuera et al., in prep.)

High-resolution, well-dated record necessary (i.e., 1/5 expected mean fire return intervals)



Dunnette et al. 2014

"Decomposition" approach

How many peaks are in this record?



Code Lake, Alaska, the example datasets distributed with CharAnalysis.



Code Lake, Alaska, the example datasets distributed with CharAnalysis.



Code Lake, Alaska, the example datasets distributed with CharAnalysis.



Code Lake, Alaska, the example datasets distributed with CharAnalysis.



Code Lake, Alaska, the example datasets distributed with CharAnalysis.

Goal of decomposition is to isolate signal of local fires from "noise" of distant fires, natural variability, and analytical variability



Code Lake, Alaska, the example datasets distributed with CharAnalysis.

Varying threshold techniques are used to quantify the "noise" around background variability.

"Local" threshold methods respond to variability in background and peak size



Higuera et al. (2009)

Chickaree Lake, Colorado subalpine forest:



Dunnette et al. (2014)



Hu et al. (2010); see also Chipman et al. (2015)

CharAnalysis - tools to implement peak detection

https://github.com/phiguera/CharAnalysis

View on GitHub 🔘

tar.oz

CharAnalysis

Diagnostic and analystical tools for sediment-charcoal analysis

CharAnalysis: Diagnostic and analytical tools for sediment-charcoal analysis

CharAnalysis is a program for analyzing sediment-charcoal records, when the goal is peak detection to reconstruct "local" fire history. Diagnostic tools help determine if peak detection is warranted, and if so, what parameters are most reasonable. Analytical tools help summarize results statistically and graphically. The entire code is distributed and well commented. Users are encouraged to "look under the hood", understand what's going on, and modify the program to suit individual needs.

(c) 2004-2021

Philip Higuera

Professor of Fire Ecology

Department of Ecosystem and Conservation Sciences

University of Montana

http://www.cfc.umt.edu/phiguera/

•	phiguera Update README.md		9bd9009 18 hours ago	🕑 22 commits
	CharAnalysis_1_1_MATLAB	Updated to accommodate short records		4 years ago
	CharAnalysis_1_1_Windows	Update readme_CharAnalysis_standAlone.md		3 years ago
Ľ	CO_charData.csv	First commit		7 years ago
Ľ	CO_charParams.csv	First commit		7 years ago
Ľ	CO_charResults.csv	First commit		7 years ago
Ľ	COchar.xls	First commit		7 years ago
Ľ	CharAnalysis_UsersGuide.pdf	Restructured directories		7 years ago
Ľ	README.md	Update README.md		18 hours ago
Ľ	index.html	Update index.html		18 hours ago
Ľ	templateChar.xls	First commit		7 years ago
Ľ	template_charData.csv	First commit		7 years ago
D	template_charParams.csv	First commit		7 years ago



- --- input charcoal data
- --- parameters to use for analysis
- --- output -- results from CharAnalysis

User decisions in *CharAnalysis* directly map to the theory of peak analysis



Fig. 1. The set of decisions required for analysing a charcoal time series with the goal of peak detection for interpretation of fire episodes. These steps are implemented in the *CharAnalysis* software (http://code.google.com/p/ charanalysis/, accessed 30 November 2010).

CO_charParams.csv

	А	В	С	D	E F
1	Stage	Variable	Parameters	Units	Description and Options
2	Pretreatment	zoneDiv	-51	cal. yr BP	Years defining beginning a
3			5500		*NOTE: YOU MUST INPUT
4			7500		*NOTE: YOU MUST INPUT
5			-9999		*NOTE: YOU MUST INPUT
6			-9999		*NOTE: YOU MUST INPUT
7			-9999		*NOTE: YOU MUST INPUT
8			-9999		*NOTE: YOU MUST INPUT
9			-9999		*NOTE: YOU MUST INPUT
10		yrInterpolate	15	yr	Years to interpolate record
11		transform	0	index	Do you want to transform th
12	Smoothing	method	4	index	How do you want to estimat
13		yr	500	yr	Years to smooth record ove
1/	Peak Analysis	cPeak	1	index	How do you want to calculate
15		threshType	2	index	What type of threshold do y
16		threshMethod	3	index	How do you want to determi
17		threshValues	0.95	variable	What threshold values do ye
18			0.99		
19			0.999		
20			0.99		
21		minCountP	0.05	probability	Cut-off probability for minim
22	Peak Analysis Results	peakFrequ	1000	yr	Years to smooth fire freque
23		Cbackground sensitivity	1	index	Do you want to evaluate the
24		saveFigures	1	index	Do you want to save the plo
25		saveData	1	index	Do you want to save the out
26		allFigures	1	index	Do you want to display all (

All of this is done after the peak analysis – it's just different ways to plot the resulting peaks. All graphs and analyses could be repeated, modified, etc., using the resulting output data.

CO_charResults.csv

3. Best practices and pitfalls

Assess if record meets desired criteria for peak detection:

- Lake characteristics: inlet/outlet, size, topography....
- Sample resolution: years/sample, including impacts of mixing
- Expected fire regime: fire size, frequency, charcoal production
 - Signal-to-noise index > 3 for majority of records where peak analysis is done



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Quaternary Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/yqres

Short Paper

A signal-to-noise index to quantify the potential for peak detection in sediment-charcoal records

Ryan F. Kelly ^{a,*}, Philip E. Higuera ^b, Carolyn M. Barrett ^c, Feng Sheng Hu ^{a,c}

This signal-to-noise index (SNI) was explicitly designed to help answer the question "is this record appropriate for peak analysis?"



QUATERNARY

Kelly et al. (2011)

Pitfall: Inferring charcoal source area from limited fire history. e.g., if no fires occurred within X km, then it's impossible to have highest correspondence at those distances.

Solution: Reference studies with a complete sampling, or multiple studies, to obtain a range for charcoal source area. **Remember, peaks and background have different source areas**





Kelly et al. 2013

Pitfall: Interpreting all peaks are fires, just because the program identified a peak. Not all peaks are fires – we don't know (!) **Solution:** Use stratigraphy and dating to assess is "double peaks" or many peaks in section of a core may represent rapid sediment accumulation; and use the SNI.



Pitfall: Interpreting changes in fire frequency within or between records, which may ultimately reflect varying sample resolution.

Solution: Interpolate samples to a common time interval helps, *IF* justified by overall range of sample resolution; interpret section(s) or records with lower resolution separately.



Dunnette et al. (2014)

Pitfall: Overinterpret variability in peak frequency as changes in fires regimes, forgetting that fire occurrence is highly stochastic, even in the absence of changing fire regimes.

Solution: Use confidence or prediction intervals on fire frequency or fire-return intervals to assess statistically significant changes in fire occurrence; pool data from multiple sites to increase statistical power in detecting potentially changing fire activity.



Example CharAnalysis output from Code Lake, Alaska (Higuera et al. 2009).

Pitfall: Overinterpret variability in peak frequency as changes in fires regimes, forgetting that fire occurrence is highly stochastic, even in the absence of changing fire regimes.

Solution: Use confidence or prediction intervals on fire frequency or fire-return intervals to assess statistically significant changes in fire occurrence; **pool data from multiple sites to increase statistical power in detecting potentially changing fire activity.**



Kelly et al. 2013

4. The future of peak detection

Technical needs:

- Facilitate development and use and by translating *CharAnalysis* from Matlab to R
- Develop ways to propagate uncertainties, in dating, peak detection, and sensitivity of parameter choices, e.g., by integrating existing tools and developing new approaches (e.g., Bayesian analyses, as in Itter et al. 2017)
- Develop with backward compatibility and compatibility with databases in mind (e.g., IPN, Neotoma, etc.)



4. The future of peak detection

Conceptual or empirical needs:

 Better understand source area reflected by peak, background, etc., under varying conditions, and implications for inference





4. The future of peak detection

Conceptual or empirical needs:

- Better understand source area reflected by peak, background, etc., under varying conditions, and implications for inference
- Improve ability to link paleo-inferred and contemporary measures of fire activity and fire regimes
- Much more: inferring fire severity, synchrony across regions, and lots of work beyond peak detection



Higuera, Shuman, and Wolf. **"Rocky Mountain subalpine forests now burning more than any time in recent millennia."** *PNAS*. Accepted (4/2021)

The past and future of peak detection



Professor of Fire Ecology @PhilipHiguera https://github.com/phiguera/CharAnalysis



Your questions

- 1) How does one calculate charcoal accumulation rates from unconsolidated sediments where it's difficult to get bulk density estimates, **e.g. in Archeology?**
- 2) How does one incorporate age dating and age-depth model uncertainties into the peak identification process?
- 3) How does one estimate the general reproducibility of charcoal records concerning variations within and between lakes and in counting (best-practice advice?)?
- 4) What does the background in the peak analysis represent and how could be interpreted in terms of fire history, fire factors, fire ecology or fire practice?
- 5) How does one deal with the background in multi-series comparisons (would we expect more regional heteorogeneity compared to peak-derived trends)?
- 6) How to analyze charcoal records from lake sediments in regions where we expect very frequent fire. Is peak detection always appropriate?

- 1) May not be able to. Conceptually, account for varying time integrated into any sample of charcoal, and consider a null model for expectations.
- 2) See Calder et al. (2015, PNAS) for a good example. Qualitatively, interpret timing of peaks in context of age undercity – i.e., don't over interpret precision.
- 3) Increase sample size, and interpret based on sample size. Use multiple records to infer regional trends in fire activity, or only interpret changes over millennial time scales in an individual record. Even with perfect accuracy, peaks are highly stochastic.
- 4) Background reflects total charcoal production in a region; theoretically (Higuera et al. 2007) and empirically (Higuera et al. 2011, Kelly et al. 2013) it reflects total area burned. It could also reflect changes in taphonomy, so be careful....
- 5) We would expect more consistency among background trends (IF they reflect fire activity, vs. taphonomy), because it integrates more space and time. The *Paleofire* R package implements methods to composite background trends.
- 6) No, peak detection is not always appropriate. High-frequency, low-severity fire regimes typically result in low SNI.



Empirical calibrations:





Kelly et al. 2013 (Higuera et al. 2005, 2011) The "relevant charcoal source area" depends on dispersal distance of charcoal, *relative to* fire size. *Peak and total charcoal represent two different sources areas*



Higuera et al. (2007)